

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 02/01/2000 GIRIDHAR D. MANDYAM NC17089 09/496,120 30973 7590 06/10/2003 SCHEEF & STONE, L.L.P. **EXAMINER** 5956 SHERRY LANE WILLIAMS, DEMETRIA A **SUITE 1400** DALLAS, TX 75225 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2631 DATE MAILED: 06/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	plicant(s)
Office Action Summary			
		09/496,120	MANDYAM, GIRIDHAR D.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ann	Demetria A. Williams	2631
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 F	ebruary 2000 .	
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims	Ex pane Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,4	453 U.G. 213.
4)🛛	∞ Claim(s) <u>1-7,10-14,20 and 21</u> is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>13,14,20 and 21</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 10-12</u> is/are rejected.		
7)🖂)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
· · ·	ion Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
· ·	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		, , , , ,
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachmen		_	
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
0.0-11	rademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/496,120 Page 2

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Persson in view of Carson et al ("Carson").

Regarding claim 1, Persson discloses a system and method of compensating for amplifier distortion comprising a means for estimating distortion characteristics by comparing the signals input and output by the amplifier (see generally column 3, lines 24-34) and using the calculation to compensate distortion by adjusting the phase (see generally column 3, lines 24-34; column 8, lines 24-57). Persson further discloses that the distortion is determined based on a comparison of the signal before and after amplification (see generally column 3, lines 24-26). Persson does not specifically disclose that the method used for adjusting the phase is phase rotation. Carson discloses a system where errors in phase are corrected by rotating the phase by an amount predicted to compensate for the error (see generally abstract; column 4, line 65 – column 5, line 6). Though Persson does not disclose the actual method used in compensating for the phase error, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Persson to include rotating the phase, as taught by Carson, in order to compensate for the distortion.

Application/Control Number: 09/496,120

Art Unit: 2631

Regarding claim 2, Persson further discloses compensating for the distortion based on AM-PM response (see generally column 9, lines 6-7).

Regarding claims 3-5, Persson further discloses that the AM-PM response is a function of at least a first parameter, the input power of the signal (see generally column 1, lines 46-46; column 3, lines 24-34), and that the distortion estimate comprises a value for input power (see generally column 3, lines 24-34).

Regarding claim 11, Persson further discloses using a QPSK communication scheme to prevent distortion (see generally column 5, lines 58-59).

Regarding claim 12, Persson and Carson describe all of the elements described above in reference to claim 11. Caron further discloses that the communications system works with QSPK symbols (see generally column 2, lines 42-67) having a phase and a magnitude component, and when using polar coordinates, the phase rotator alters the phase component and not the magnitude (see generally column 5, lines 7-21; column 3, lines 20-38). While neither specifically disclose the use of CDMA, its use is well known for cellular communications and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the invention for CDMA communications.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Persson and Carson as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of McNicol. Persson and Carson disclose all of the elements as described above in reference to claim 1, but neither discloses the use of training data. McNicol discloses a system and method for controlling distortion in a power amplifier wherein a known reference signal is used and the error produced by amplification is calculated (see generally column 2, lines 5-25). It would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 09/496,120 Page 4

Art Unit: 2631

one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Persson to include determining distortion characteristics based on training data, as taught by McNicol, in order to reduce overall distortion introduced to the carriers.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Prior art of record does not disclose comparing the input power level to a threshold value.
- 5. Claims 13, 14, 20, and 21 are allowed. Prior art of record does not disclose the relationship between the input power level and a threshold value as claimed by the applicant.

Remarks

In the office action dated December 31, 2002, Examiner pointed to subject matter in claim 9 as being allowable. Specifically, Examiner noted that the prior art of record did not disclose choosing a set of symbols from a constellation for determining amplification distortion. However, upon further review and consideration, it is noted that the Examiner erred in making this statement as a result of misreading the claim. The cited references clearly disclose using "send signals" for examining signal distortion. A constellation is simply a collection of symbols and selecting symbols from a "constellation of symbols" is well known in the art. Examiner regrets any inconvenience to the applicant.

Application/Control Number: 09/496,120

Art Unit: 2631

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Demetria A. Williams whose telephone number is (703) 305-4078. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on (703) 305-4378. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

daw June 3, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 6/

Page 5